

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE  
AT NASHVILLE

**IN RE: ORDER ESTABLISHING RULE 39,  
RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE:  
EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES**

---

Filed June 28, 2001

**ORDER**

In 1967, the General Assembly created the Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals in order to reduce the appellate backlog in criminal cases. In most criminal and post-conviction cases, review of a final order of the Court of Criminal Appeals is not a matter of right, but of sound judicial discretion. Permission to appeal will be granted by this Court only where special and important reasons justify the exercise of that discretionary review power. Tenn. R. App. Proc. 11. We recognize that criminal and post-conviction relief litigants have routinely petitioned this Court for permission to appeal upon the Court of Criminal Appeals' denial of relief in order to exhaust all available state remedies for purposes of federal habeas corpus litigation. In order to clarify that denial of relief by the Court of Criminal Appeals shall constitute exhaustion of state remedies for federal habeas corpus purposes, we hereby adopt the following Rule 39, Rules of the Supreme Court, as stated below.

In all appeals from criminal convictions or post-conviction relief matters from and after July 1, 1967, a litigant shall not be required to petition for rehearing or to file an application for permission to appeal to the Supreme Court of Tennessee following an adverse decision of the Court of Criminal Appeals in order to be deemed to have exhausted all available state remedies respecting a claim of error. Rather, when the claim has been presented to the Court of Criminal Appeals or the Supreme Court, and relief has been denied, the litigant shall be deemed to have exhausted all available state remedies available for that claim. On automatic review of capital cases by the Supreme Court pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated, § 39-13-206, a claim presented to the Court of Criminal Appeals shall be considered exhausted even when such claim is not renewed in the Supreme Court on automatic review.

FOR THE COURT:

---

Riley Anderson, Chief Justice