

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT JACKSON

JANUARY 1997 SESSION

FILED
March 18, 1997
Cecil Crowson, Jr.
Appellate Court Clerk

STATE OF TENNESSEE,)
)
 APPELLEE,)
)
)
)
 v.)
)
)
)
)
)
 GEORGE W. PLUNK,)
)
)
 APPELLANT.)

No. 02-C-01-9603-CC-00096
Henderson County
J. Franklin Murchison, Judge
(Violation of the Private Investigators
Licensing and Regulatory Act and
Impersonation of a Licensed Professional)

FOR THE APPELLANT:

Daniel J. Taylor
Assistant Public Defender
227 West Baltimore Street
Jackson, TN 38301

OF COUNSEL:

George Morton Googe
District Public Defender
227 Baltimore Street
Jackson, TN 38301

FOR THE APPELLEE:

Charles W. Burson
Attorney General & Reporter
500 Charlotte Avenue
Nashville, TN 37243-0497

Deborah A. Tullis
Assistant Attorney General
450 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, TN 37243-0493

James G. Woodall
District Attorney General
225 Martin Luther King Drive
Jackson, TN 38302-2895

Donald W. Allen
District Attorney General
225 Martin Luther King Drive
Jackson, TN 38302-2895

OPINION FILED _____

AFFIRMED PURSUANT TO RULE 20

Joe B. Jones, Presiding Judge

OPINION

The appellant, George W. Plunk, was convicted of impersonating a licensed professional, a Class E felony, and violating the Private Investigator Licensing and Regulatory Act, a Class A misdemeanor, by a jury of his peers. The trial court found the appellant to be a multiple offender and imposed a Range II sentence consisting of a \$750 fine and confinement for four (4) years in the Department of Correction for impersonating a licensed professional. The court sentenced the appellant to pay a fine of \$700 and serve eleven months and twenty-nine days in the Henderson County Jail for violating the Private Investigator Licensing and Regulatory Act. The appellant's sentences were suspended and he was placed on probation. One issue is presented for review. The appellant contends the evidence contained in the record is insufficient, as a matter of law, to support a finding by a rational trier of fact he was guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

The evidence contained in the record is overwhelming. The evidence establishes the appellant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Therefore, it is the opinion of this Court the judgment of the trial court should be affirmed pursuant to Rule 20, Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals.

JOE B. JONES, PRESIDING JUDGE

CONCUR;

PAUL G. SUMMERS, JUDGE

DAVID G. HAYES, JUDGE