IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE SEPTEMBER SESSION, 199

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

October 3, 1997

SHANNON R. MARTIN,

Appellant

vs.

JAMES A. BOWLIN, Warden, and STATE OF TENNESSEE,

Appellee

Cecil Crowson, Jr. Appellate Court Clerk No. 03C01-9701-CC-00022

BLEDSOE COUNTY

Hon. THOMAS W. GRAHAM, Judge

(Writ of Habeas Corpus)

For the Appellant:

Shannon R. Martin, *Pro Se* S.T.S.R.C.F. Route #4, Box 600 Pikeville, TN 37367 For the Appellee:

Charles W. Burson Attorney General and Reporter

Sandy R. Copous Assistant Attorney General Criminal Justice Division 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0493

James Michael Taylor District Attorney General

James W. Pope, III Asst. District Attorney General Fan Bank Bldg., Suite 300 265 Third Avenue Dayton TN 37321-1238

OPINION FILED:

AFFIRMED PURSUANT TO RULE 20

David G. Hayes Judge

<u>O PINIO N</u>

The appellant, Shannon R. Martin, appeals the trial court's dismissal of his *pro se* petition for writ of habeas corpus. The appellant is currently confined at the state correctional facility in Bledsoe County where he is serving a forty year sentence for aggravated rape. His conviction occurred on May 27, 1987. The appellant filed the instant petition on October 4, 1996, alleging that the judgment entered against him is void because the indictment failed to allege the *mens rea* of the offense charged. The trial court dismissed the petition, finding the indictment sufficient to support the entry of a judgment of conviction for aggravated rape. We affirm the trial court's dismissal of the petition.

Initially, we note that challenges concerning the sufficiency of the indictment are not the proper subject of habeas corpus relief. <u>See Haggard v.</u> <u>State</u>, 475 S.W.2d 186, 187 (Tenn. Code Ann. § . Crim. App. 1971); <u>Brown v.</u> <u>State</u>, 445 S.W.2d 669, 674 (Tenn. Code Ann. § . Crim. App. 1969); <u>Barber v.</u> <u>State</u>, No. 01C01-9408-CR-00281 (Tenn. Code Ann. § . Crim. App., Feb. 23, 1995).

Moreover, we find the substance of the appellant's claim to be without merit. The appellant's reliance on <u>State v. Roger Dale Hill</u>, No. 01C01-9508-CC-00267 (Tenn. Code Ann. § . Crim. App. June 20, 1996), is misplaced. The decision in <u>Hill</u> involves a post-1989 indictment and specifically addresses Tenn. Code Ann. § . Code Ann. § 39-11-301(c)(1989) (requirement of a culpable mental state). The appeal now before this court involves a <u>pre</u>-1989 Code indictment. Prior to 1989, the Code did not contain a provision comparable to Tenn. Code Ann. § . Code Ann. § 39-11-301(c). Accordingly, the decision in <u>Hill</u> does not control review of the issue before us.

2

On the date of the offense in this case, aggravated rape was defined as the "unlawful sexual penetration of another" accompanied by certain enumerated aggravating circumstances, including that the victim is less than thirteen (13) years old. Tenn. Code Ann. § . Code Ann. § 39-2-603(a)(4) (1982). The indictment in the present case charged that the appellant did "unlawfully, feloniously, sexually penetrate a certain female. . . the said female. . . being under the age of thirteen years at the time of the commission of the said act, in violation of T.C.A. 39-2-603. . ." This language was sufficient under the law as it existed at the time. <u>See Campbell v. State</u>, 491 S.W.2d 359, 361 (Tenn. Code Ann. § . 1973) (an indictment using the words "feloniously" or "unlawfully" is sufficient); <u>Gates v. State</u>, No. 03C01-9510-CC-00313 (Tenn. Code Ann. § . Crim. App. at Knoxville, Aug. 16, 1996). This issue is without merit.

The trial court's dismissal of the appellant's petition for writ of habeas corpus is affirmed pursuant to Rule 20, Rules of the Court of Criminal Appeals.

DAVID G. HAYES, Judge

CONCUR:

JOHN H. PEAY, Judge

WILLIAM M. BARKER, Judge