## IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT JACKSON

## **FILED**

**JANUARY 1998 SESSION** 

**February 9, 1998** 

JAMES WILLARD CROOM,	Cecil Crowson, Jr. Appellate Court Clerk	
Appellant	) NO. 02 <del>C01-9703-CC-00104</del> ) LAKE COUNTY	
Арренант		
VS.	)	
BILLY COMPTON, WARDEN,	) HON. R. LEE MOORE, JR., ) JUDGE	
Appellee.	) (Habeas Corpus)	

## **FOR THE APPELLANT:**

JAMES WILLARD CROOM (Pro Se)

#235162 L. C. R. C. F. Route 1, Box 330 Tiptonville, TN 38079

## **FOR THE APPELLEE:**

JOHN KNOX WALKUP

Attorney General and Reporter

**ELIZABETH T. RYAN** 

Assistant Attorney General Cordell Hull Building, 2nd Floor 425 Fifth Avenue North Nashville, TN 37243-0493

**C. PHILLIP BIVENS** 

District Attorney General P. O. Drawer E Dyersburg, TN 38025-2005

OPINION	FILED:	

**AFFIRMED - RULE 20** 

JOE G. RILEY, JUDGE ORDER

Petitioner, James Willard Croom, sought habeas corpus relief in the Circuit

Court of Lake County alleging that his drug indictment was fatally insufficient for

failure to state the appropriate mens rea. Pursuant to Rule 20, Tennessee Court of

Criminal Appeals, we AFFIRM the trial court's dismissal of the petition.

In his petition for writ of habeas corpus the petitioner alleged that the drug

indictment leading to his conviction and sentence was insufficient in charging that

he "did unlawfully possess with intent to sell or deliver a controlled substance, to-wit:

cocaine...." He specifically alleges that this language fails to charge the appropriate

mens rea and is, therefore, void.

We find that the language of this indictment provided adequate notice to both

the defendant and the trial court of the offense alleged and is not deficient. State

v. Hill, 954 S.W.2d 725 (Tenn. 1997).

The judgment of the trial court is AFFIRMED pursuant to Tennessee Court

of Criminal Appeals Rule 20.

JOE G. RILEY, JUDGE

**CONCUR:** 

JOE B. JONES, PRESIDING JUDGE

PAUL G. SUMMERS, JUDGE