



We agree with the trial court's ruling. The convicting court in this case had jurisdiction over both the subject matter and person, and nothing in the record before us demonstrates that the convicting court's actions were unauthorized. Nor has the petitioner shown that his sentence has expired. Accordingly, even if the petitioner's claim has merit, such claim renders the judgment voidable, not void, and it may not be collaterally attacked in a suit for habeas corpus relief. Passarella v. State, 891 S.W.2d 619, 627 (Tenn. Crim. App. 1994). The court below therefore correctly denied the petitioner the requested habeas corpus relief.

Accordingly, having reviewed the entire record in light of the petitioner's claims, we cannot find any error committed by the trial court in denying the petition. It is therefore ORDERED that the state's motion is granted. Pursuant to Rule 20 of the Rules of the Court of Criminal Appeals, we affirm the trial court's denial of the petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus. Costs of this appeal shall be assessed against the state.

---

DAVID G. HAYES, JUDGE

---

JOE G. RILEY, JUDGE

---

JOHN EVERETT WILLIAMS, JUDGE