## SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE SUPREME COURT DISCRETIONARY APPEALS

## January 16, 2001 AMENDED JANUARY 18, 2001

STYLE/APPEAL NUMBER	COUNTY TRIAL JUDGE TRIAL COURT NO.	APPELLATE JUDGE JUDGMENT	NATURE OF APPEAL	ACTION
B & G Construction, Inc. vs. Manfred Polk, et al M1999-00677-SC-R11-CV	Davidson Circuit Barbara N. Haynes 98C-3550	Swiney, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Manfred & Muriel Polk
Daniel B. Barge, III vs. Earl H. Sadler, et al M1999-01293-SC-R11-CV	Humphreys Chancery Allen W. Wallace 24-175	Cantrell, J. Reversed and remanded	Rule 11	Granted - Application of Earl H. Sadler and Vera I. Sadler
Madge Kirkham Fell, et al vs. Gloria Rambo M1999-01039-SC-R11-CV	Marshall Chancery Franklin L. Russell 9147	Koch, J. Reversed in part, affirmed in part	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Madge Kirkham Fell, Betty Kirkham Bowland, Bernice Kirkham Bowers, and Debbie Little
Patricia A. Parker vs. S.T. Briggs and Officer McMinn M2000-02063-SC-R23-CV	U.S. District Court Western District		Rule 23	The Court declines to accept the question certified to this Court. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The U.S. District Court, Western District of TN has certified to this Court the following question: Should the doctrine of equitable tolling be applied when a plaintiff, who is reasonably ignorant of the statute of limitations, in good faith, attempts to resolve her civil rights complaint through administrative channels, and subsequently misses the filing deadline by only a few months, and where there is minimal prejudice to the defendants? In Norton v. Everhart, 895 S.W.2d 317 (Tenn. 1995), this Court expressly declined to adopt the doctrine of equitable tolling as an independent doctrine in Tennessee. Therefore, since there is controlling precedent with respect to the question certified to this Court, we respectfully decline to accept the question certified to this Court.

Paul E. Rutherford vs. Donal Campbell, et al M1999-02789-SC-R11-CV	Davidson Chancery Irvin H. Kilcrease, Jr. 98-2733-I	Cain, J. Affirmed and remanded	Rule 11	Denied - Application of Paul Rutherford
State of Tennessee vs. George Glenn Faulkner M1998-00066-SC-R11-CD	Putnam Criminal Leon C. Burns, Jr. 96-0245	Ogle, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of George Glenn Faulkner
State of Tennessee vs. Lawrence Jackson M1999-00635-SC-R11-CD	Montgomery John H. Gasaway, III 39901	Welles, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of State of Tennessee
State of Tennessee vs. Anthony E. Short M1999-01404-SC-R11-CD	Davidson Criminal J. Randall Wyatt, Jr. 90-W-280	Wedemeyer, J. Affirmed, Rule 20	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Anthony E. Short
State of Tennessee vs. Frederick Rydel Walker M1998-00068-SC-R11-CD	Marshall Circuit William C. Lee 13422	Ogle, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Frederick Rydel Walker
Rodney Buford vs. State of Tennessee M1999-00487-SC-R11-PC	Hickman Circuit Timothy L. Easter 99-5075C-I	Wade, P.J. Affirmed	Rule 11	Denied - Application of Rodney Buford
David A. Scott, III vs. State of Tennessee M1997-00090-SC-R11-PC	Davidson Criminal Thomas H. Shriver	Ogle, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	Denied - Application of David A. Scott, III

State of Tennessee vs. Carlos C. Beasley W1999-00406-SC-R11-CD	Shelby Criminal James C. Beasley, Jr. 98-03607-608	Lafferty, Sr. J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Carlos C. Beasley
State of Tennessee vs. Antonio Kendrick W2000-01169-SC-R11-PC	Shelby Criminal John P. Colton, Jr. 902-12034; P-20281	Hayes, J. Rule 28 Motion denied	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Antonio Kendrick
State of Tennessee vs. Derrick Vernon, et al W1998-00612-SC-R11-CD	Shelby Criminal Arthur T. Bennett 94-01917, 18; 97-06456	Glenn, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Applications of Derrick Vernon, Charles E. Thompson, and Derrick Thompson
State of Tennessee vs. Edward A. Hudson E1996-00065-SC-R11-CD	Sullivan Criminal Phyllis H. Miller S37292	Tipton, J. Order for a delayed appeal	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Edward A. Hudson
State of Tennessee vs. Orlando Malone E1999-01347-SC-R11-CD	Bradley Criminal Carroll L. Ross M-98-278	Woodall, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Orlando Malone
Willie James Robinson, Jr. vs. State of Tennessee E1999-000945-SC-R11-PC	Morgan Criminal E. Eugene Eblen 8570	Tipton, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Denied</b> - Application of Willie James Robinson, Jr.

## The following Rule 11 Dismissals were filed the week of January 8<sup>th</sup> - January 12<sup>th</sup>, 2001

STYLE/APPEAL NUMBER	COUNTY TRIAL JUDGE TRIAL COURT NO.	APPELLATE JUDGE JUDGMENT	NATURE OF APPEAL	ACTION
Jill Smythe vs. Gus Richards, et al M2000-02467-SC-S09-CV	Davidson Circuit Carol Soloman 00-150	Cain, J. Rule 9 Denied	Rule 9	<b>Dismissed</b> - Application of Gus Richards; the Court of Appeals' disposition of the case on petition to rehear has rendered the pending Rule 11 application moot.
State of Tennessee vs. Barry Waters M1999-01358-SC-R11-CD	Giles Circuit Robert L. Jones 7677	Wade, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Dismissed</b> - Application of Barry Waters Rogers; the application was not timely filed, and the Court is without jurisdiction to consider the merits.
State of Tennessee vs. Billy Hancock W1999-01746-SC-R11-CD	Shelby Circuit Chris Craft 98-12271-76	Smith, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Dismissed</b> - Application of Billy Hancock; the application was not timely filed, and the Court is without jurisdiction to consider the merits.
State of Tennessee vs. Orlando Ward W1999-00609-SC-R11-CD	Shelby Criminal W. Fred Axley 99-06378	Clark, J. Affirmed	Rule 11	<b>Dismissed</b> - Application of Orlando Ward; the application was not timely filed, and the Court is without jurisdiction to consider the merits.
Vincent Hadley vs. State of Tennessee W2000-01099-SC-R11-PC	Shelby Criminal Chris Craft P-23194	Glenn, J. Affirmed, Rule 20	Rule 11	<b>Dismissed</b> - Application of Vincent Hadley; the application was not timely filed, and the Court is without jurisdiction to consider the merits.