

Established in 1897

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Lynda Minks Hood

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
MARC HARWELL
President

STEVEN M. JACOWAY

President-Elect

JOHN C. HARRISON Secretary

ROBIN L. MILLER
Treasurer

WILLIAM G. COLVIN Past President

BOARD OF GOVERNORS

LEE ANN ADAMS
SHERI FOX
GEORGE G. HIXSON
THOMAS M. HORNE
JEFFREY W. MADDUX
JIMMY ROIXGERS
DREW H. REYNOLDS,
YLD Representative

Ex-Officio Member
The Honorable J. B. Bennett
Judicial Representative

B. PAUL HATCHER
Past Presidents Chair

THE PIONEER BUILDING, SUITE 420 801 BROAD STREET CHATTANOOGA, TN 37402 (423) 756-3222 FAX: (423) 265-6602 WWW.CHATTANOOGABAR.ORG July 9, 2018

VIA E-Mail: appellatecourtclerk@tncourts.gov

James Hivner, Clerk of Appellate Courts Tennessee Supreme Court 100 Supreme Court Building 401 Seventh Avenue North Nashville, TN 37219-1407

> Re: Petition for the Adoption of Amended Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 25, No. ADM2018-00844

Dear Mr. Hivner:

The Chattanooga Bar Association ("CBA"), through Board of Governors, has carefully considered the proposed change to Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 25. The CBA review sought the opinions of its members with significant experience as receiver attorneys.

After discussion, the CBA Board of Governors (the "Board"), voted that the Board endorse the proposed amendments to Rulo 25.

However, the discussion of the proposed amendments, and review of comments made by others promotes the CBA to encourage further changes to Rule 25. There are circumstances where an attorney's disability/suspension stymies clients' efforts to be reimbursed. There is a situation in Chattanooga involving attorney Matthew Fitzharris, that has brought this dilemma to light. Mr. Fitzharris's law license has been suspended by the BPR due to a determination in a Georgia criminal proceeding that he is incompetent to assist in his defense. A Receiver Attorney was appointed for Mr. Fitzharris pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 9. The Receiver Attorney has found substantial proof that Matthew Fitzharris is guilty of "dishonest conduct," as that term is defined in

FILED

JUL - 9 2018

Clerk of the Appellate Courts

Petition for the Adoption of Amended Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 25, No. ADM2018-00844 Page 2

Rule 25, Section 1.03. For example, in the review of Fitzharris' files, the Receiver Attorney found scant or no information about retainers he took - no fee agreements, and no statements for services which justify withdrawal of funds from his IOLTA account for his fees. In fact, the IOLTA account shows that Fitzharris was making cash withdrawals from his IOLTA account from bank tellers, with no corresponding memorandum of what the cash was for, or from whose funds the withdrawal was being made.

Under the current BPR's rules, once a lawyer's license has been suspended, the BPR ceases its investigative efforts and moves on to other reports of alleged misconduct. The BPR will not act further on Fitzharris' case unless they find he is practicing law without a license. Thus, there is at present, no investigative report or finding of misconduct by the BPR, and therefore no way for the client's whose trust funds have been misappropriated to be reimbursed through the Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection.

As it now stands, there is NO RELIEF for the clients of Matthew Fitzharris. Neither civil nor criminal proceedings can be instituted due to the finding of incompetency. This is a problem that cries for a solution.

Attorney Terry Cox provided comment suggesting lawyers be required to maintain some minimum limit of professional liability insurance as is required to operate an automobile. The CBA supports that idea. At a minimum, the CBA suggests that Tennessee adopt a rule requiring disclosure of the existence, or non-existence, of professional liability insurance similar to that adopted in many other states:

As always, the CBA appreciates the opportunity to comment on proposed Rules and changes to such Rules promulgated by the Tennessee Supreme Court.

Marc Harwell, by And Hood

Marc Harwell

CBA President

cc. Lynda Minks Hood, CBA Executive Director



July 5, 2018

FILED

JUL - 5 2018

VIA E-Mail: appellatecourtclerk@tncourts.gov

James Hivner, Clerk of Appellate Courts

Tennessee Supreme Court

100 Supreme Court Building

401 Seventh Avenue North Nashville, TN 37219-1407 Clerk of the Appellate Courts Rec'd By

Knoxville Bar Association 505 Main Street, Suite 50 P.O. Box 2027 Knoxville, TN 37901-2027 PH: (865) 522-6522 FAX: (865) 523-5662 www.knoxbar.org

Officers

Keith H. Burroughs President

Wynne du Mariau Caffey-Knight President-Elect

Hanson R. Tipton

Cheryl G. Rice Secretary

Amanda M. Busby Immediate Past President

Board of Governors

Charme P. Allen Maha M. Ayesh

Jamie Ballinger-Holden

E. Michael Brezina III

Kathryn St. Clair Ellis

Stephen Ross Johnson

Elizabeth K.B. Meadows

Mary D. Miller

Carrie S. O'Rear

T. Mitchell Panter

M. Samantha Parris

Robert E. Pryor, Jr.

Mikel A. Towe

Executive Director Marsha S. Watson mwatson@knozbar.org Re: Petition to Amend Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 25; No. ADM2018-00844

Dear Mr. Hivner:

Pursuant to the Tennessee Supreme Court's Order referenced above, the Knoxville Bar Association ("KBA") Professionalism Committee ("Committee") has carefully considered the proposed changes to Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 25 regarding the Tennessee Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection (TLFCP). At the KBA Board of Governors' (the "Board") meeting held on June 20, 2018, the Committee presented a report of its review of the Petition. Following the Committee's presentation and thorough discussion by the Board, the Board as a whole unanimously adopted the Committee's recommendation to file this comment in support of the Petition.

As always, the KBA appreciates the opportunity to comment on proposed Rules and changes to such Rules promulgated by the Tennessee Supreme Court.

Sincerely,

Keith H. Burroughs, President Knoxville Bar Association

cc: Marsha Watson, KBA Executive Director (via e-mail)

KBA Executive Committee (via e-mail)

Lisa Marsh - Proposed changes to Rule 25 - comments

ADm2018-00844

From:

Terry Cox <terrycox@coxelderlaw.com>

To:

<appellatecourtclerk@tncourts.gov> 5/10/2018 9:45 AM

Date:

Subject: Proposed changes to Rule 25 - comments

Cc:

staff coxelderlaw <staff@coxelderlaw.com>

FILED

MAY 1 0 2018

Clerk of the Appellate Courts
Rec'd By

- 1. The failure to reveal the amount which lawyers will be required to pay is objectionable.
- 2. The absence of a cap on the amount which will be required of lawyers to pay is objectionable.
- 3. The limitation on attorney fee for representing an aggrieved consumer regardless of the complexity of the matter is objectionable.
- 4. The implied authority of the Board to compel an attorney to represent an aggrieved consumer for a nominal fee is objectionable.
- 5. A better scheme would be to require lawyers to maintain certain minimum limits of liability coverage similar to the compulsory automobile liability insurance scheme in Tennessee. This would remove a considerable administrative burden from the Board and would place the burden for financing bad acts on the perpetrator rather than all lawyers.
- 6. A better entity to consider and process claims would be the mechanism already in place for the Criminal Injuries Compensation Fund.
- 7. A better means of promoting public confidence in lawyers and in facilitating the efficient and competent delivery of legal services would be to require all attorneys to have limited licenses in only one or two practice areas. Segregating the delivery of legal services among practitioners who are specially licensed in a given practice area would allow consumers to shop for legal services as they do for medical services, i.e. by specialty area of practice.

Terry C. Cox Attorney 149 South Rowlett Collierville, Tennessee 38017 901-853-3500 901-853-3525 (fax)