FILED
SEP 25 2015
Clerk of the Courts

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE AT NASHVILLE

IN RE: PROPOSED REVISION OF RULE 46, RULES OF THE TENNESSEE SUPREME COURT ("E-FILING RULE")

No. ADM2015-01511 – Filed: August 12, 2015

COMMENT

In response to the Supreme Court's request for public comments on the proposed revision to Supreme Court Rule 42, the Access to Justice Commission (the "Commission") strongly supports the Supreme Court's efforts to use technology to make the court system more user-friendly. Implementing a voluntary e-filing system in the appellate courts is a positive move towards enhancing access to the judicial system. The Commission endorses a voluntary e-filing system in the appellate courts but does not support the proposed revision to the rule as written.

The Commission noted that the current proposed rule only permits attorneys who are licensed in Tennessee or attorneys admitted or who seek to be admitted pro hac vice to utilize the e-filing system. Self-represented litigants are explicitly excluded from e-filing their documents. The Commission believes that it would be beneficial to include self-represented litigants in the trial phase of e-filing to learn what issues and problems specific to them will arise and potentially resolve them prior to e-filing becoming mandatory. The Commission understands that there may be an assumption that most self-represented litigants at the appellate level are indigent and therefore will not be able to pay the associated fees with e-filing. The Commission believes that this assumption may be incorrect and in any event, the trial phase would be the best opportunity to develop the mechanism that will enable indigent parties to use the e-filing system. The Commission requests that the Supreme Court adopt a revised rule that will allow self-represented litigants to use the voluntary e-filing system.

The Commission further asks that the Supreme Court give serious consideration to the

impact of mandatory e-filing on access to the court system, specifically the adverse effect on

self-represented litigants who do not have access to computers and/or those who are

technologically challenged. The Commission adopted Technology Principles as part of its 2012

Strategic Plan. These Principles provide guidance to the Commission to apply when evaluating

new technology. The Principles state that new technology or changes in the use of current

technology must not become a barrier to access or participation in the court system. The

Commission hopes that the Supreme Court will evaluate the efficacy of continuing a hard copy

filing option should the e-filing pilot be successful.

In addition, the Commission is supportive of projects associated with the automation of

court forms that also make the judicial system more user-friendly to both attorneys and self-

represented litigants who use the forms. There are other states with extensive databases of

automated forms. The Commission is exploring automated forms in Tennessee. Once an

automated form is completed, the next logical step is for the user to be able to e-file the

document with the appropriate court. The Commission would like the Supreme Court to be

aware that the technology already exists that enables automated forms software to communicate

with e-filing software and permit the user to e-file their automated document. The Commission

requests that as the Court moves forward with e-filing, that it consider how automated forms will

play a role in the e-filing system.

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas A. Blaze, BPR #016356

Chair, Tennessee Supreme Court

Access to Justice Commission



September 28, 2015

PRESIDENT Bill Harbison 150 3rd Avenue South Suite 1100 Nashville, Tennessee 37201-2011 (615) 742-4200 FAX (615) 742-4539 Email: bharbison@sherrardroe.com

Clerk, Tennessee Supreme Court Supreme Court Building, Room 100 401 7th Avenue North Nashville, TN 37219

The Honorable James (Jim) Hivner



IN RE: PROPOSED REVISION OF RULE 46 **RULES OF THE TENNESSEE** SUPREME COURT ("E-FILING RULE")

NO. ADM2015-01511

PRESIDENT-ELECT

Jason Long 900 S. Gay Street Suite 2102 Knoxville, Tennessee 37902-1810 (865) 521-6527 FAX (865) 637-0540 Email: jhl@lyblaw.net

VICE PRESIDENT

Lucian Pera 6075 Poplar Avenue Suite 700

Memphis, Tennessee 38119-0100 (901) 524-5278

FAX (901) 524-5378 Email: lucian.pera@arlaw.com

TREASURER

Sherie Edwards P.O. Box 1065 Brentwood, Tennessee 37024-1065 (615) 846-8205 FAX (615) 846-6070 Email: sheriee@svmic.com

ASSISTANT TREASURER

Mary Dohner Smith, Nashville Brian Winfrey

2002 Richard Jones Rd Suite B-200 Nashville, Tennessee 37215-2809 (615) 601-1276 Fax: (615) 523-0200 Email: brian@thewinfreyfirm.com

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT Jonathan Steen

BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Dan Berexa, Nashville Hon. Jeff Bivins, Nashville Tasha Blakney, Knoxville Carl Carter, Memphis David Clark, Clinton Brian Faughnan, Memphis Kim Helper, Franklin Jeff Harmon, Dunlap Rachel Ralston Mancl, Kingsport Erin McArdle, Jonesborough Rachel Moses, Cookeville Donna Pierce, Sewanee Andy Roskind, Knoxville Michelle Sellers, Jackson Nathan Shelby, Jackson Sarah Sheppeard, Knoxville Gary Shockley, Nashville Mary Dohner Smith, Nashville David Veile, Franklin Shelly Wilson, Knoxville

> GENERAL COUNSEL Paul Ney, Nashville

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Allan F. Ramsaur, Nashville Email: aramsaur@tnbar.org Dear Jim:

Attached please find an original and one copy of the Comment of the Tennessee Bar Association in reference to the above matter.

As always, thank you for your cooperation. I remain,

Very truly yours,

Man F. Ramsaur **Executive Director**

cc: Bill Harbison, President, Tennessee Bar Association Hank Fincher, Chair, Tennessee Bar Association Tort and Insurance Practice Section

Charles McGhee, Chair, Tennessee Bar Association Family Law

John McCann, Chair, Tennessee Bar Association Litigation Section Edmund Sauer, Chair, Tennessee Bar Association Appellate Practice Section

Paul Ney, General Counsel Tennessee Bar Association Executive Committee Service List

> Tennessee Bar Center 221 Fourth Avenue North, Suite 400 Nashville, Tennessee 37219-2198 (615) 383-7421 • (800) 899-6993 FAX (615) 297-8058 www.tba.org

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE AT NASHVILLE 2015 SEP 28 PM 1: 56

IN RE PROPOSED REVISION OF RULE 46 MASHVELE
RULES OF THE TENNESSEE SUPREME COURT

("E-FILING RULE")

No. ADM2015-01511

COMMENT OF THE TENNESSEE BAR ASSOCIATION

The Tennessee Bar Association ("TBA"), by and through its President, William L. Harbison; General Counsel, Paul Ney; and Executive Director, Allan F. Ramsaur, files this comment expressing enthusiastic support for establishment of a voluntary electronic filing system for Tennessee appellate courts with the modifications as provided in this comment. If the Court later contemplates establishment of a mandatory system, that proposal and any changes to the rule to implement that proposal should be published for comment as was this rule for a voluntary system.

BACKGROUND

On August 12, 2015 this Honorable Court published proposed revisions to Tenn. Sup. Ct. R. 46 that would replace the current rule with revised Rule 46 set out in the appendix of the order.

The TBA immediately published the proposed revisions for comment and requested that several of its sections consider the proposals. Comments were provided by the Appellate Practice Section, Litigation Section, Tort and Insurance Practice Section, and Family Law Section. In addition, individual practitioners on the Executive Committee contributed to specific recommendations regarding the proposal. The TBA Executive Committee took up the matter at its regular monthly meeting on Monday, September 21, 2015, and adopted the comments which follow.

1. SELF-REPRESENTED LITIGANTS SHOULD BE PERMITTED TO REGISTER AS REGISTERED USERS

As proposed, only lawyers admitted to practice or *pro hac vice* would be permitted to participate as registered users in the system. In view of the TBA's and the Court's commitment to open access to Tennessee courts by self-represented litigants, the TBA proposes that self-represented litigants be permitted to register and use the system. That amendment to the proposed rule would both enable non-lawyer self-represented persons to participate in this program and allow lawyers to use the more efficient e-filing system when dealing with self-represented persons at the appellate level. The attached revision ("Exhibit A") to the order's appendix contains a redline change to the proposal to permit non-lawyer, self-represented litigants to become registered users subject to the terms of use agreement and all of the other provisions of the rule.

2. THE RULE SHOULD NOT PROHIBIT LAWYERS FROM SHARING THEIR REGISTERED USER CREDENTIALS WITH COLLEAGUES, ASSOCIATES, LEGAL ASSISTANTS AND OTHER TRUSTED PERSONS TO FACILIATE THE PROPER USE OF THE SYSTEM.

As proposed, Section 2.02 provides that a registered user shall not permit anyone else to use the registered users name or password. The provision appears to restrict the common and useful practice of many lawyers dealing with other electronic filing systems of authorizing other trusted colleagues and employees to electronically file matters on their behalf at their direction. Given the usual legal principles of agency and partnership, as well as the specific duties which arise under Tenn. Sup. Ct. R. 8, RPC 5.3, the registered users are responsible for those matters filed using their credentials. If the rule mandates filing only by the individual registered user, lawyers may find the voluntary system of limited utility.

3. THE RULE SHOULD NOT PERMIT THE CLERK TO REJECT DOCUMENTS BUT SHOULD OFFER AN OPPORTUNITY TO CORRECT NON-CONFORMING FILINGS.

The proposed rule, at Section 3.01(b), provides for a review by the clerk of a filed document to determine if it conforms with applicable filing requirements. Then the rule allows the clerk to "reject a document" if it does not conform. The paper system under which we presently file does not permit the clerk to reject filings and neither should the electronic system. Placing this responsibility and authority in the clerk is not in keeping with the clerk's usual responsibilities relating to document

filing. The TBA's revised proposed Rule as attached would provide for the clerk to review the filings and give notice to registered users of any non-conformity in the document. The filing party would then be permitted three days to correct the deficient filing. The filing party's timely submission would relate back to the initial filing, and notification that the clerk has accepted the corrected document would be required.

4. THE E-FILING SYSTEM SHOULD PROVIDE A METHOD TO DETERMINE REGISTERED USERS TO FACILITATE SERVICE.

The proposed Rule, at Section 4.01, provides for automatic electronic service of the document filed through the e-filing system when others persons entitled to service in the case are registered users and for conventional service when they are not. One of the principal advantages to the e-filing system is this automatic service by the filing system. Thus the TBA is enthusiastic in support of this provision. However, the system and the rule should provide a method for those using this system to determine whether a person is a registered user so as to foster compliance with this section.

5. SYSTEM OUTAGES SHOULD TRIGGER A NON-DISCRETIONARY DECLARATION THAT THE SYSTEM WAS UNAVAILABLE

As proposed, when the e-filing system is offline for technical reasons for a significant portion of a particular day, Section 5.02 grants the clerk discretion to issue a written declaration that the e-filing system was unavailable for e-filing that day. As

a consequence of such a declaration all filings due that day may be deemed timely filed if received by the clerk on the following day. The TBA submits that once the clerk has determined that there has been "a significant outage" the declaration should be issued and filings received on the next day shall be deemed timely filed. There should be no discretion as to whether to accept the next day filing of the documents as timely.

6. ALL INFORMATION FILED IN THE E-FILING SYSTEM SHOULD BE AVAILABLE TO ALL REGISTERED USERS, THE PUBLIC AND THE PRESS.

The Constitution, rulings of this Court, and good practice demand that the courts conduct public business in public. All documents filed using the e-filing system should be available for free and open public access, and copying. Most of the other publicly available electronic filing systems offer such public access to anyone who chooses through a user identification and password system. The rule should establish a class of users whereby any individual who agreed to the terms of service could register and have search, viewing, access, and copying privileges.

CONCLUSION

The TBA enthusiastically supports the e-filing system and expresses its willingness to assist the Appellate Clerk in development, implementation and training on the new system.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ by permission

WILLIAM (BILL) HARBISON (007012)

President, Tennessee Bar Association

Sherrod & Roe PLC

150 3rd Avenue S., #1100

Nashville, TN 37201

(615) 742-4200

By: /s/ by permission

PAUL C. NEY (011625)

General Counsel,

Tennessee Bar Association

Patterson Intellectual Property Law, PC

1600 Division Street, Suite 500

Nashville, Tennessee 37203

(615)-242-2400

By:

ALLAN F. RAMSAUR (005764)

Executive Director,

Tennessee Bar Association

Tennessee Bar Center

221 Fourth Avenue North, Suite 400

Nashville, Tennessee 37219-2198

(615) 383-7421

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the individuals and organizations identified in Exhibit "B" by regular U.S. Mail, postage prepaid within seven (7) days of filing with the Court.

Allan F. Ramsaur

APPENDIX Exhibit A The proposed amendment would replace the current Tenn. Sup. Ct. R. 46 with the following revised Tenn. Sup. Ct. R. 46:1 [Proposed Revision of Rule 46. Electronic Filing. Introduction. The Supreme Court intends to adopt electronic filing ("e-filing") in the appellate courts at a future date. This revised Rule 46, adopted effective [date], is a transitional rule authorizing parties to e-file documents voluntarily until such time that the Court adopts e-filing on a permanent basis. Section 1. General Provisions. 1.01. Definitions. (a) "Appellate Court" or "Court" means the Tennessee Supreme Court, Tennessee Court of Appeals, or Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals. (b) "Clerk" means the clerk of the appellate courts. (c) "E-filing fee" is a fee charged in connection with electronic filing that is in addition to statutory filing fees. (d) "Document" means a motion, application, request, exhibit, brief, memorandum of law, or other instrument in paper form or electronic form which is permitted to be filed pursuant to the Tennessee Rules of Appellate Procedure, the Rules of the Court of Appeals, or the Rules of the Court of Criminal Appeals. (e) "E-file" or "e-filing" means the electronic transmission of documents in cases pending in the appellate courts, using the dedicated e-filing system maintained by the clerk. (f) "E-filing system" means a web-based system maintained by the clerk for the purpose of providing a means for to transmit documents to the clerk for filing. (g) "Electronic signature" means a signature line beginning with an "s/" followed

by the typewritten name of the signatory.

- (h) "E-service" means the electronic transmission of e-filed documents to a party or a party's attorney through the e-filing system.
- (i) "Portable Document Format" or "PDF" means the computer file format developed by Adobe Systems Incorporated for representing documents in a manner that is independent of the original application software, hardware, and operating system used to create those documents.
- (j) "Registered user" means any person listed in section 2.01 who has properly registered with the clerk to e-file documents in the appellate courts.
- (k) "Terms-of-use agreement" means that agreement established by the clerk that sets forth the parameters for use of the e-filing system by all registered users.
- (1) "Transaction receipt" means an e-mail confirmation that is transmitted to an e-filer after an e-filer has submitted a document to the clerk to be filed through the e-filing system. The transaction receipt displays the date and time the document was submitted by the e-filer. The transaction receipt may serve as the proof of filing.
- (m) "User guide" means the court's written guide for using the e-filing system, which shall be posted as a PDF document on the appellate courts' website (http://www.tncourts.gov/). All e-filers should periodically check the appellate courts' website for updates to the user guide.
- 1.02. Application of the Rule. This rule applies to all cases filed in the appellate courts. Except as provided in this rule, any document may be e-filed that otherwise would be filed in the appellate court as a paper document in accordance with the Tennessee Rules of Appellate Procedure, the Rules of the Court of Appeals, or the Rules of the Court of Criminal Appeals. Such e-filings shall constitute the official filing of such documents for purposes of the foregoing rules.

The appellate court may, on motion for good cause shown or on the court's own initiative, waive any provision of this rule.

Section 2. Registered Users.

- **2.01.** Registered Users. The following persons may e-file documents upon completion of the registration requirements of this rule:
 - (a) Attorneys licensed to practice law in Tennessee;

(b) Attorneys admitted or who seek to be admitted pro hac vice pursuant to Tenn. Sup. Ct. R. 19; and,

(c) Self-represented appellate litigants.

- 2.02. Registration. Any person listed in section 2.01 who desires to e-file documents in the appellate courts shall register with the clerk. Upon receipt of a properly executed terms-of-use agreement, the e-filing system shall generate to the registered user an initial confidential log-in name and password to access the e-filing system. No registered user shall knowingly authorize or permit any unauthorized person to use the registered user's name or password. Except as expressly permitted in this rule, documents shall be e-filed using the log-in name and password of the registered user who signed the document being filed. Registration with the clerk constitutes consent by the registered user to receive electronic service of all documents and electronic notices issued by the appellate court or the clerk.
- 2.03. Duty of Registered User to Update Contact Information. Registered users shall change their profile maintained in the e-filing system immediately upon any change in the registered user's name, law firm name, delivery address, telephone number, fax number, or e-mail address. E-service on an obsolete e-mail address shall constitute valid service on the registered user.
- **2.04.** User Guide. The clerk will provide all registered users with access to an online user guide to assist them in e-filing.

Section 3. Filing and Service Procedures.

3.01. Time and Effect of E-filing.

- (a) Filed upon transmission. Subject to acceptance by the clerk pursuant to paragraph (b), any document electronically submitted for filing shall be considered filed with the court when the transmission to the court's electronic filing system is completed. Upon receipt of the transmitted document, the electronic filing system shall automatically e-mail a transaction receipt to the electronic filer, stating that the transmission of the document was completed and also stating the date and time of the document's receipt. The electronic filer is responsible for verifying that the court received and filed the document transmitted. Absent confirmation of receipt, there is no presumption that the court received and filed the document. The transaction receipt shall serve as proof of filing.
- 120 (b) Review by clerk. The clerk may review the document to determine if it
 121 conforms with the applicable filing requirements. If the clerk finds that a document does not comply with the
 applicable filing requirement, rejects the document for
- 122 filing because it does not comply with the applicable filing requirements or because any

required filing fee has not been paid, the clerk must shall promptly send notice to the registered 123 124 user who filed the document; the notice must setting forth the reason(s) the document was does not conform. 125 rejected for filing. If the clerk rejects the filing, the clerk may, in his or her discretion, 126 give tThe filing party shall be permitted up to three

(3) days to correct the deficient filing, all pon the filing party's timely submission of a corrected filing, the filing shall relate back to the date of the initial Notification that the clerk has accepted the conforming document for filing is not required.

- (c) "Filed" Stamp. E-filed documents accepted for filing by the clerk shall have a "filed" stamp affixed by the clerk. The clerk's stamp of an e-filed document must contain the following: "Electronically Filed/Date and Time/Name of Clerk." This "electronically filed" stamp has the same force and effect as a manually affixed "filed" stamp of the clerk.
- (d) Time of filing. Any document e-filed by 11:59 p.m. at the clerk's local time in the grand division in which the appeal lies shall be deemed to be filed on that date. so long as it is accepted by the clerk upon review.
- (e) Documents Filed by the Court. The court may electronically transmit orders, opinions, judgments, and other court-issued documents through the appellate courts' efiling system.

When a document electronically transmitted by the court for filing by the clerk requires the signature of the judge(s), clerk, or authorized deputy clerk, the signature may be reflected at the end of the document by means of an electronic signature in the format: "s/ [judge's/clerk's/deputy clerk's name], " followed by the appropriate title (i.e., "Judge," "Clerk," "Deputy Clerk"). Any order, opinion, judgment, or other court-issued document filed electronically without the handwritten signature of the judge(s), clerk, or authorized deputy clerk but containing an approved electronic signature has the same effect as if the judge or clerk had signed a paper copy of the filing.

3.02. Format of Documents.

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134 135

136

137 138

139

140 141

142 143 144

145 146

147

148

149

150

151 152 153

154 155

156 157

158

159

160 161

162

(a) All e-filed documents shall be formatted in accordance with the terms-of-use agreement and the applicable rules of the Tennessee Rules of Appellate Procedure, the Rules of the Court of Appeals, and the Rules of the Court of Criminal Appeals governing formatting of paper-filed documents in the appellate courts, except that the provisions of the Rules of Appellate Procedure, the Rules of the Court of Appeals, and the Rules of the Court of Criminal Appeals relating to the number of copies, the color of the cover page, and the types of paper shall not apply.

(b) All original documents (e.g., applications, briefs, motions, memoranda of law, and similar documents) that are e-filed shall be prepared through direct conversion from the word processing file to Portable Document Format and not through scanning of the original paper document. Not withstanding the foregoing sentence, all attachments and appendices containing photocopies of documentsmay be scanned into Portable Document Format. E-filed PDF documents shall be text searchable, if possible.

3.03. Payment of Filing Fees.

(a) All e-filed documents subject to statutory filing fees and taxes shall require payment of such filing fees and taxes immediately upon filing, unless excused by the court. Registered users who e-file also shall pay the e-filing fees set by the Supreme Court or by statute. These filing fees must be paid with an approved form of electronic payment at the time of e-filing.

(b) E-filing fees paid by the filing party are recoverable costs for purposes of Tenn. R. App. P. 40(c).

3.04. Signatures.

(a) Registered User's Signature. A registered user's use of the assigned log-in name and password to submit a document electronically serves as that user's signature on that document for all purposes. The identity of the registered user submitting the electronically filed document must be reflected at the end of the document by means of an electronic signature, followed by the user's name, business address, telephone number, e-mail address, and number assigned by the Board of Professional Responsibility, if applicable.

(b) Multiple signatures. An attorney electronically filing a document requiring the signatures of multiple attorneys (e.g., stipulations) must list thereon the names of all other attorney signatories and include their electronic signatures. By submitting such a document, the filer certifies that each of the other attorneys has expressly agreed to the form and substance of the document, and that the filer has their permission to submit the document electronically. In the alternative, the filer may submit a scanned document containing all necessary signatures.

(c) Signatures Under Penalty of Perjury and Notarized Signatures. Documents required by law to include a signature under penalty of perjury, or the signature of a notary public, may be submitted electronically, provided that the declarant or notary public has signed a printed form of the document. The printed document bearing the

original signatures must be scanned and electronically submitted for filing in a format that accurately reproduces the original signatures and contents of the document.

(d) Effect of Signatures on E-Filed Documents. Any filing made under this rule shall bind the signatory as if a paper document were physically signed and filed. An efiling therefore shall function as the signatory's attestation to the truthfulness of an e-filed affidavit, declaration, or certification, or as a validly signed document for any other purpose under the Tennessee Rules of Appellate Procedure or other court rule.

Section 4. Electronic Service.

4.01. Automatic Service by System. Upon the receipt of an e-filed document, the e-filing system will automatically generate and send by e-mail a notice of filing to all registered users participating in the case. This automatically generated notice shall constitute proper service of the e-filed document on those registered users and shall have the same legal effect as service of a paper document under Tenn. R. App. P. 20. Independent service, either by paper or otherwise, need not be made on any registered user. Attorneys and self-represented parties who are not registered users must be served by the filing party through the conventional means of service set forth in Tenn. R. App. P. 20.

4.02. E-Service of Documents Filed by the Court. The clerk's e-service on a registered user of a notice, order, opinion, or judgment filed by the court shall constitute proper service and shall satisfy the notice requirements of the Tennessee Rules of Appellate Procedure, including the mailing requirements of Tenn. R. App. P. 5(c), 23, and 38.

Section 5. Effect of Technical Failure in E-Filing.

5.01. Motion to File Document Nunc Pro Tunc. If the e-filing does not occur because of: (1) a technical error in the transmission of the document to the clerk, which error was unknown to the sending party, (2) a failure to process the electronic document when received by the clerk, (3) rejection of the transmitted document by the court or elerk, or (4) other technical problems experienced by either the e-filer or the clerk, the court may, upon motion of the filing party, enter an order directing that the document be filed nunc pro tunc to the date the document was first attempted to be filed electronically. If the court directs the filing of the document nunc pro tune, the court also shall extend the date for filing any response to the delayed filing and may extend the period within which any other right, duty, or other act must be performed.

5.02. E-Filing System Outage. In the event the e-filing system is offline for technical reasons for a significant portion of a particular day, the clerk, in his or her discretion, is authorized to shall issue a written declaration that the e-filing system is unavailable for filing on that day, in which event all filings due on that day shall be deemed to be timely if received the following day the e-filing system is online. If the clerk issues such a declaration, no party is required to file a motion seeking permission to file a document nunc pro tunc, pursuant to section 5.01.

[end of Appendix]

"Exhibit B"

Dwight Aarons
President, National Bar Association,
William Henry Hastie Chapter
University of Tennessee College of Law
1505 Cumberland Avenue, Room 363
Knoxville, TN 37996-0681

Laurel Ball
President, East Tennessee Lawyers
Association for Women
Leitner, Williams, Dooley & Napolitan
900 S. Gay St., # 1800 Riverview Tower
Knoxville, TN 37902

Syd Beckman
Dean
Lincoln Memorial University Duncan
School of Law
601 West Summit Hill Drive
Knoxville, TN 37902

Mark Blakley President, Scott County Bar Association Stansberry, Petroff, Marcum & Blakley PC 2301 Jacksboro Pike, Suite 4C La Follette, TN 37766-2959

Ben Boston
President, Lawrence County Bar
Association
Boston, Holt, Sockwell & Durham PLLC
P.O. Box 357
Lawrenceburg, TN 38464
David Byrd
President, Hamblen County Bar

Association Capps, Cantwell, Capps & Byrd P.O. Box 1897 Morristown, TN 37816-1897

William Cockett
Johnson County Bar Association President
Smith & Cockett Attorneys
247 West Main Street, P.O. Box 108
Mountain City, TN 37683-0108

Bratten Cook President, Dekalb County Bar Association Bratten Hale Cook II 104 N. 3rd Street Smithville, TN 37166

Creed Daniel
President, Grainger County Bar Association
Daniel & Daniel
115 Marshall Avenue
P.O. Box 6
Rutledge, TN 37861-0006

Jason Davis
President, Marshall County Bar Association
Davis Law Firm
113 W. Commerce Street
Lewisburg, TN 37091

Colby Baddour President, Giles County Bar Association A. Colbrook Baddour, Attorney at Law P.O. Box 296 Pulaski, TN 38478-0296

Beth Bates
President, Tennessee Lawyers Association
for Women
West Tennessee Legal Services
P.O. Box 2066
Jackson, TN 38302

Barri Bernstein
Executive Director
Tennessee Bar Foundation
618 Church Street, Suite 120
Nashville, TN 37219

Tasha Blakney President, Knoxville Bar Association Eldridge & Blakney PC P.O. Box 398 Knoxville, TN 37901

Charles Brasfield President, Tipton County Bar Association Brasfield & Brasfield 114 West Liberty Avenue, P.O. Box 846 Covington, TN 38019-0765

Karol Lahrman Executive Director Tennessee Lawyers Association for Women P.O. Box 331214 Nashville, TN 37203

Curt Collins
President, Greene County Bar Association
C. Collins Law Firm
128 S. Main Street, Suite 102
Greeneville, TN 37743-4922

Chad Cox
President, Paris-Henry County Bar
Association
Clark and Cox PLLC
104 North Brewer Street
Paris, TN 38242-4006
Wade Davies

Immediate Past President
Knoxville Bar Association
Ritchie, Dillard, Davies & Johnson PC
P.O. Box 1126
Knoxville, TN 37901

Dawn Deaner
Tennessee Lawyers Fund for Client
Protection Chair
Metropolitan Public Defender's Office
404 James Robertson Parkway, #2022
Nashville, TN 37219

Jeremy Ball
President, Jefferson County Bar
Association
Distict Attorney Office
P.O. Box 690
Dandridge, TN 37725
Douglas Bates

President, Hickman County Bar Association Bates & Bates P.O. Box 1 Centerville, TN 37033

Julian Bibb
President
Tennessee Board of Law Examiners
Stites & Harbison, PLLC
401 Commerce Street, Suite 900
Nashville, TN 37219

Executive Director
Tennessee Association of Criminal Defense
Lawyers
530 Church Street, # 300

Nashville, TN 37219
Paul Bruno
President, Tennessee Association of
Criminal Defense Lawyers

The Bruno Firm 5115 Maryland Way Brentwood, TN 37401 Kirk Caraway

Suanne Bone

Past President, Memphis Bar Association Allen, Summers, Simpson, Lillie & Gresham, Pllc 80 Monroe Avenue, Suite 650 Memphis, TN 38103-2466

Daryl Colson President, Overton County Bar Association Colson & Maxwell 808 North Church Street Livingston, TN 38570-1134

Terri Crider President, Gibson County Bar Association Flippin, Atkins & Crider PC P.O. Box 160 Humboldt, TN 38343

Michael Davis
President
Morgan County Bar Association
216 N. Kingston Street
P.O. Box 925
Wartburg, TN 37887-0925
Jade Dodds
Chapter President, National Bar
Association, S.L. Hutchins Chapter
Life Care Centers of America
3001 Keith Street, NW, 3480

Cleveland, TN 37320-3480

Dan Douglas President, Lauderdale County Bar Association P.O. Box 489 Ripley, TN 38063-0489

Vinh Duong President, Tennessee Asian Pacific American Bar Association Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis LLP 511 Union Street, #2700 Nashville, TN 37210

Joseph Ford President, Franklin County Bar Association McBee & Ford 17 S. College Street Winchester, TN 37398

Anne Fritz Executive Director Memphis Bar Association 145 Court Avenue, Suite 1 Memphis, TN 38103-2292

Sandy Garrett Chief Counsel The Board of Professional Responsibility 10 Cadillac Drive, Suite 220 Brentwood, TN 37027-5078

Alberto Gonzales Dean Belmont University School of Law 1900 Belmont Boulevard Nashville, TN 37212

Chris Guthrie Dean Vanderbilt University School of Law 131 21st Ave. South, Room 108 Nashville, TN 37203-1181

Mary Helms, President NE Tenn. Chapter Federal Bar Assoc. Wimberly, Lawson, Wright, Daves & Jones P.O. Box 1834 Morristown, TN 37816

Lynda Hood
Executive Director
Chattanooga Bar Association
801 Broad Street, Suite 420
Pioneer Building
Chattanooga, TN 37402
Tiffany Johnson
President, Tennessee Alliance for Black
Lawyers
QP Legal Research & Writing Services
1067 Fleece Place
Memphis, TN 38104-5620

Joanna Douglass President, Lawyers Association for Women Tennessee Department of Human Services 225 Martin Luther King Dr., #210 Jackson, TN 38301

Matthew Edwards
President, Cumberland County Bar
Association
Law Office of Matthew Edwards
69 E. First Street, Suite 203
Crossville, TN 38555-4575

Andrew Frazier President, Benton County Bar Association Whitworth Law Firm P.O. Box 208 Camden, TN 38320

Shawn Fry
President, Putnam County Bar Association
Qualls & Fry PLLC
165 E. Spring Street
Cookeville, TN 38501

James Gass
President, Sevier County Bar Association
Ogle, Gass & Richardson PC
P.O. Box 5365
Sevierville, TN 37864

Charles Grant
Immediate Past President, Nash. Bar Assoc.
Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell &
Berkowitz PC
211 Commerce Street, Suite 800
Nashville, TN 37201-1817

Paul Hatcher President, Chattanooga Bar Association Duncan, Hatcher, Hixson & Fleenor PC 1418 McCallie Avenue Chattanooga, TN 37404

Lela Hollabaugh Board of Professional Responsibility Chair Bradley Arant 1600 Division Street, Suite 700 Nashville, TN 37203

Nathan Hunt
President
Montgomery County Bar Association
Patton & Pittman
109 S. Third Street
Clarksville, TN 37040
Susan Jones
Napier-Looby Chapter President
Metropolitan Department Of Law
108 Metro Court House
P.O. Box 196300
Nashville, TN 37219-6300

Hilary Duke President, Dickson County Bar Association Reynolds, Potter, Ragan & Vandivort, PLC 210 East College Street Dickson, TN 37055

Amber Floyd President, National Bar Association, Ben Jones Chapter Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs LLP 1715 Aaron Brenner Drive, Suite 800 Memphis, TN 38120 Jennifer Free Jackson-Madison-Henderson County Bar Association Byrd & Byrd PLLC 116 N. Church St., 4th Fl., P.O. Box 2764 Jackson, TN 38302-2764 Jonathan Garner President, Robertson County Bar Association Walker & Garner 122 6th Avenue, W. Springfield, TN 37172 Melanie Gober

P.O. Box 190583 Nashville, TN 37219 Kristin Green President, Bedford County Bar Association 300 E. Lane Street P.O. Box 461

Lawyers Association for Women Marion

James Haywood
President, Haywood County Bar
Association
Haywood Law, PLLC
50 Boyd Avenue, P.O. Box 438
Brownsville, TN 38012-0438
Martin Holmes

Shelbyville, TN 37162-0461

Executive Director

Griffin Chapter

Federal Bar Association
Nashville Chapter President
Dickinson Wright, PLLC
424 Church Street, Suite 1401
Nashville, TN 37219

Bill Koch Dean, Nashville School of Law 4013 Armory Oaks Drive Nashville, TN 37204-4577

Kevin Keeton President, Hawkins County Bar Association Point & Keeton, PC 115 E. Washington Street Rogersville, TN 37857-3317 Suzanne Keith Executive Director Tennessee Association for Justice 1903 Division Street Nashville, TN 37203

Katherine Kroeger
President, Anderson County Bar
Association
7th Jud. Dist. Office of the Public Defender
127 N. Main Street
Clinton, TN 37716-3607

William Lawson President, Unicoi County Bar Association 112 Gay Street, Suite A P.O. Box 16 Erwin, TN 37650-0016

Keating Lowery President, Lawyers Association for Women Lawrence & Russell 5178 Wheelis Drive Memphis, TN 38117

Matt Maddox President, Carroll County Bar Association Attorney at Law P.O. Box 827 Huntingdon, TN 38344

Lee McVey President, Kingsport Bar Association The Mcvey Law Firm 108 E. Main St., Suite 208 Kingsport, TN 37660

John Miles President, Obion County Bar Association P.O. Box 8 Union City, TN 38281

Mary Morris
Federal Bar Association,
Memphis/Mid-South Chapter President
Burch, Porter & Johnson, PLLC
130 North Court Avenue
Memphis, TN 38103
Stacy Lynch
President, Coffee County Bar Association
Hull, Ray, Rieder, Ewell, Lane & Lynch
214 N.E. Atlantic Street
Tullahoma, TN 37388

Beau Pemberton President, Weakley County Bar Association Law Office Of James H. Bradberry 109 North Poplar Street P.O. Box 789 Dresden, TN 38225-0789 Sarah Kennedy President, McMinn-Meigs County Bar Association Jerry N. Estes Law Offices, PLLC 296 W. Madison Avenue Athens, TN 37303

Ed Lancaster
Tennessee CLE Commission Chair
TFIC
P.O. Box 998
Columbia, TN 38402

Peter Letsou Dean University of Memphis Cecil C. Humphreys School of Law 1 North Front Street Memphis, TN 38103

Trevor Lynch Rutherford-Cannon County Bar Association 320 W. Main Street, Suite 100 Woodbury, TN 37190

Ian McCabe President, Loudon County Bar Association Law Office of Ian McCAbe 200 Prosperity Drive, Suite 113 Knoxville, TN 37923

Brandon Meredith President, Sumner County Bar Association Phillips & Ingrum 117 E. Main Street Gallatin, TN 37066

Denny Mitchell President, White County Bar Association Mitchell Law Office 112 South Main Street Sparta, TN 38583

David Myers President, Union County Bar Association 105 Monroe Street P.O. Box 13 Maynardville, TN 37807-0013

Tommy Parker
President, Memphis Bar Association
Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell &
Berkowitz PC
165 Madison Avenue, Suite 2000

Creed McGinley Tennessee Bar Foundation Chair P.O. Box 548 Savannah, TN 38372 Wayne Kramer President-Elect, Knoxville Bar Association Kramer Rayson LLP P.O. Box 629 Knoxville, TN 37901

Edward Lanquist President, Nashville Bar Association Patterson PC 1600 Division St., Suite 500 Nashville, TN 37203

William Locke President, Warren County Bar Association General Sessions Judge Warren County Courthouse P.O. Box 228 Mcminnville, TN 37111-0228

Monica Mackie Executive Director Nashville Bar Association 150 4th Avenue N., Suite 1050 Nashville, TN 37219

Judy McKissack
Director
Tennessee Commission on Continuing
Legal Education
221 Fourth Avenue North, Suite 300
Nashville, TN 37219
Donna Mikel
Federal Bar Association
Chattanooga Chapter President
Burnette, Dobson & Pinchak
713 Cherry Street
Chattanooga, TN 37402

Darren Mitchell President, Campbell County Bar Association P.O. Box 375 Jacksboro, TN 37757

Lynn Newcomb
President, Cheatham County Bar
Association
Balthrop, Perry, Noe, Newcomb & Morgan
102 Frey Street
Ashland City, TN 37015

Shauna Billingsley
President, Williamson County Bar Assoc.
City of Franklin, Law Department
109 3rd Avenue South
Franklin, TN 37065

Gary Wade Dean, Lincoln Memorial University Duncan School of Law 601 W. Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, TN 37902 Lisa Perlen
Executive Director
Tennessee Board of Law Examiners
401 Church Street
Nashville, TN 37219

Mario Ramos President, Tennessee Association of Spanish Speaking Attorneys Mario Ramos PLLC 611 Commerce Street, Suite 3119 Nashville, TN 37203

Sunny Sandos President, Washington County Bar Assoc. West & Rose 537 East Center Street P.O. Box 1404 Kingsport, TN 37660-4869

Jim Smith President, Roane County Bar Association 305 W. Rockwood Street Rockwood, TN 37854

Joycelyn Stevenson President-Elect, Nashville Bar Association Littler Mendelson PC 333 Commerce Street, #1450 Nashville, TN 37201

Mark Hartsoe President, Blount County Bar Association Hartsoe Law Firm, P.C. 908 West Lamar Alexander Parkway P.O. Box 4997 Maryville, TN 37801

Robert Thomas
National Bar Association,
Ballard Taylor Chapter President
Weinman & Associates
112 S. Liberty St., P.O. Box 266
Jackson, TN 38302-0266

James Tucker
President, Tennessee Defense Lawers
Association
Manier & Herod PC
150 4th Avenue N., Suite 2200
Nashville, TN 37219

Derreck Whitson President, Cocke County Bar Association P.O. Box 1230 Newport, TN 37822

Marsha Wilson Executive Director Knoxville Bar Association P.O. Box 2027 Knoxville, TN 37901 Jennifer Porth President, 15th Judical District Bar Assoc. J. Stephen Brown PC 224 W. Gay Street P.O. Box 792 Lebanon, TN 37088-0792

Beverly Rayburn President, Maury County Bar Association 14 Public Square Columbia, TN 38401

Randall Self
President, Lincoln County Bar Association
Randall E. Self, Attorney At Law
131A Market Street E.
P.O. Box 501
Fayetteville, TN 37334-0501
Abby Sparks
President, Lawyers Association for Women
Marion Griffin Chapter
State of Tennessee, Department of Revenue
500 Deaderick Street
Nashville, TN 37242-0001

William Stover Immediate Past President, Tennessee Alliance for Black Lawyers 500 Church Street, Suite 450 Nashville, TN 37219-2370

Deborah Taylor Tate Administrative Director Administrative Offices of the Courts 201 Fourth Avenue North, Suite 1900 Nashville, TN 37219

Harriet Thompson President, Hardeman County Bar Association P.O. Box 600 Bolivar, TN 38008

Tyler Weiss President, Monroe County Bar Association Worthington & Weiss, P.C. 409 College Street N., Suite 1 Madisonville, TN 37354-3103

John Lee Williams
President, Humphreys County Bar
Association
Porch Peeler Williams Thomason
102 S. Court Square
Waverly, TN 37185-2113

Melanie Wilson Dean UT College Of Law 1505 W. Cumberland Avenue, Room 278 Knoxville, TN 37996 Ann Pruitt
Executive Director
Tennessee Alliance for Legal Services
1220 Vintage Place
Nashville, TN 37215

Kathy Rowell SETLAW President 821 Houston Street, Suite 104 Chattanooga, TN 37403

Christie Sell
President-Elect, Chattanooga Bar Assoc,
Hamilton County
General Session Court Judges
600 Market Street, 203 Courts Building
Chattanooga, TN 37402
David Stanifer
President, Claiborne County Bar
Association
Stanifer & Stanifer
P.O. Box 217
Tazewell, TN 37879

Stephanie Stuart President, Bristol Bar Association 1990 Highway 394, Suite C Blountville, TN 37617

James Taylor President, Rhea County Bar Association 1374 Railroad Street, Suite 400 Dayton, TN 37321-2211

Carla Fenswick President, Tennessee Stonewall Bar Assoc. Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis, LLP P.O. Box 198966 Nashville, TN 37219

Eric Buchanan President, Tennessee Assoc. for Justice P.O. Box 11208 Chattanooga, TN 37401

Matthew Willis President, Dyer County Bar Association Ashley Ashley & Arnold P.O. Box H Dyersburg, TN 38025

Jerry Hoffer Jerry Hoffer Attorney at Law, P.C. President, Bradley County Bar Association 140 North Ocoee Street, Suite 100 Cleveland, TN 37311 Drew Taylor President, Carter County Bar Association 211 South Main Street Elizabethton, TN 37643 Beth S. Bates, President
Ramona DeSalvo, Vice President
Cheryl Rice, Immediate Past President
Linda W. Knight, Treasurer
Vanessa Bullock, Recording Secretary
Angelia Nystrom, Corresponding Secretary
Karol Lahrman, Executive Director



FILED

SEP 25 2015

Clerk of the Courts

September 24, 2015

VIA EMAIL & U.S. MAIL

Mr. James M. Hivner, Clerk Tennessee Appellate Courts 100 Supreme Court Building 401 7th Avenue North Nashville, TN 37219-1407

RE: N0. ADMIN2015-01511

Dear Mr. Hivner:

The Tennessee Lawyers Association for Women (TLAW) appreciates the opportunity to comment on proposed rules promulgated by the Tennessee Supreme Court.

Our Board of Directors considered the proposed change to Rule 46, Rules of the Supreme Court. Several members such as President Elect Ramona DeSalvo of Nashville, Treasurer Linda Knight, and the undersigned, have had experience with electronic filing in Federal Court. Our experience has uniformly been good. TLAW supports the adoption of this rule to allow voluntary electronic filing in all Tennessee Appellate Courts.

Yours truly,

/s/Beth S. Bates 2015-2016 TLAW President

SEP 1 4 2015

Clerk of the Courts

Rec'd By

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE AT NASHVILLE

IN RE: PROPOSED REVISION OF RULE 46, RULES OF THE TENNESSEE **SUPREME COURT ("E-FILING RULE")**

No. ADM2015-01511 – Filed: August 12, 2015

RESPONSE TO INVITATION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

In response to the Tennessee Supreme Court's request for comment on the proposed changes to Rule 46 of the Tennessee Rules of the Supreme Court, the Executive Committee of the Tennessee District Public Defenders Conference ("Conference") supports the Court's ongoing effort to modernize Tennessee's court system, and the environmentally friendly promotion of e-filing.

However, the Conference asks the Court to clarify that, under Section 3.03(a) of the proposed revision to Supreme Court Rule 461, current processes for fee waivers or assessment of costs to the state for indigent persons will remain in effect. In addition, it is unclear what the process for e-filing in these circumstances would be, as the proposed rule requires payment of fees immediately upon e-filing by an approved form of electronic payment. Clarification as to how an indigent party would e-file if the fees have been waived or will be charged to the state would seem prudent.

1

¹ 3.03(a) All e-filed documents subject to statutory filing fees and taxes shall require payment of such filing fees and taxes immediately upon filing, unless excused by the court. Registered users who e-file also shall pay the e-filing fees set by the Supreme Court or by statute. These fees must be paid with an approved form of electronic payment at the time of e-filing.

In addition, the Conference seeks clarification of Section 2.01 of the proposed revision to Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 46². As the proposed rule is meant to be voluntary at this stage, the Conference inquires as to whether this section would need to be amended should the proposed rule become mandatory in the future. As written, the proposed Section 2.01 limits e-filing to Tennessee attorneys and *pro hac vice* attorneys. However, if the proposed rule is made mandatory at a later date, some consideration should be made for persons who may wish to proceed *pro se* at

The Conference reiterates its support of more economical and environmentally friendly proceedings that the proposed revision to Rule 46 promotes, and only seeks to "iron out" potential wrinkles during the revision process.

Respectfully submitted,

Executive Committee of the Tennessee District Public Defenders Conference

By:

the appellate level.

B. Jeffery Harmon

Tenn. B.P.R #012097

President

211 Seventh Avenue North, Suite 320

Nashville, TN, 37219-1821

Phone: 615-741-5562 Fax: 615-741-5568

Email: jeffery.harmon@tn.gov

² 2.01 The following persons may e-file documents upon completion of the registration requirements of this rule: (a) Attorneys licensed to practice law in Tennessee; (b) Attorneys admitted or who seek to be admitted *pro hac vice* pursuant to Tenn. Sup. Ct. R. 19.

By:

Jeffrey S. Henry Tenn. B.P.R. #002420 **Executive Director**

211 Seventh Avenue North, Suite 320

Nashville, TN, 37219-1821

Phone: 615-741-5562 Fax: 615-741-5568

Email: jeffrey.henry@tn.gov

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE AT NASHVILLE

IN RE PROPOSED REVISION OF RULE 46, RULES OF THE TENNESSEE SUPREME COURT ("E-FILING RULE")

No. ADM2015-01511

FILED

SEP - 3 2015

Clerk of the Courts

Rec'd By _____

COMMENT

The members of the Court of Appeals of Tennessee unanimously support the proposed amendments to Tennessee Supreme Court Rule 46, believing the implementation of e-filing in the appellate courts will benefit both the bar and the courts, provided that the appellate judges, their law clerks, and staff attorneys be provided the proper tools to review e-filed PDF documents, including dual monitors, laptop/tablet hybrids, and secure wi-fi service in the three Supreme Court Buildings.

Dual monitors in particular are essential for efficient review of PDF documents while drafting opinions. Although our current monitors are sufficient for drafting opinions using printed briefs and records, our experience has shown that they are inadequate when the records or briefs are in PDF format. Laptop/tablet hybrids are also necessary, for these items will aid the court when the judges are on the bench and when they are working away from the office.

Without the appropriate tools to review PDF documents, the amendment of Rule 46 will simply shift the burden of printing briefs and other documents from the attorney's office to the judge's chambers. Thus to be effective, any implementation of the amendment of Rule 46 must be accompanied by a commitment to fund the acquisition of dual monitors and the other tools necessary for the judges and their staff to perform their duties using PDF documents rather than printed documents.

Charles D. Susano, Jr., Chief Judge

FILED

AUG 18 2015

Clerk of the Courts Rec'd By

>>> Evan Nahmias <evan@cityllc.com> 8/18/2015 1:38 PM >>> Jim:

The Supreme Court should not only mandate e filing on the appellate level, but should implement a statewide e-filing and docket system for all courts like Alabama, Pennsylvania, and many other states. The variety between individual counties (and districts) and court clerks leads to inconsistent statewide practices and increases costs to courts and litigants through mailings, storage of paper, pollution from overnighting or driving documents to the court, deforestation by virtue of printing off duplicates and file copies, and countless wasted labor hours in complying with "local" customs or rules and all of those factors impede an efficient administration of justice. By way of limited example, consider that the Knox and Shelby Counties General Sessions court clerk have completely different civil warrants (and approved circuit court cost bond formats) and while Shelby County freely gives case docket information, Davidson County requires an annual subscription costing hundreds of dollars (even if you have only one case), while Knox, Williamson, and Hamilton have little or no useful online information (the daily docket-how underwhelming). A central State run electronic filing system (akin to Mississippi's sparsely adopted and borrowed directly from the ECF/PACER style filing and docket management system) will greatly benefit the citizens, litigants, and, once they overcome the effrontery to their peculiarities, the various court clerk and judges of the State of Tennessee. Please draft Rule 46 to mandate such a system and I will gladly pay a fee for such certainty and ease (exactly like I do with the Federal PACER system).

Please let me know if I can assist in any of your endeavors. Thanks

en

EVAN NAHMIAS

5000 MERIDIAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 700 FRANKLIN, TN 37067 615.224.2842-DIRECT 901.213.6738-CELL LICENSED IN TN-MS-AR

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, exempt from disclosure under law, or attorney work product. If the reader of the message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering same to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and destroy all copies.

Robert Brooks, Attorney

2299 Union Ave Memphis, TN 38140 Tel: (901) 763-2832

Fax: (901) 726-1007

robertbrooks@memphiscriminalappeals.com

August 12, 2015

James M. Hivner, Clerk
Re: Tenn.Sup.Ct.R. 46
Tennessee Appellate Courts
100 Supreme Court Bldg
401 7th Ave N
Nashville TN 37219-1407

Re: E-Filing

Dear Mr. Hivner:

I do a lot of federal criminal practice. Everything is electronic and has been for years. In the strongest possible way, I support e-filing appellate records, briefs, motions, notices, orders, and anything else. Send notices, orders, and anything else by email. Banish paper entirely. Electronic is immeasurably better in every way.

Respectfully,

Robert Brooks, Attorney

ADM2015-01511

FILED

AUG 1 3 2015

Clerk of the Courts

Rec'd By

From:

"Larry Rice" < larry@ricelaw.com>

To:

lisa.marsh@tncourts.gov>

Date:

8/13/2015 3:16 PM

Subject:

TN Courts: Submit Comment on Proposed Rules

Submitted on Thursday, August 13, 2015 - 3:16pm Submitted by anonymous user: [75.145.110.244]

Submitted values are:

ADM 2015-01511

Your Name: Larry Rice

Your Address: 275 Jefferson Ave Your email address: larry@ricelaw.com Your Position or Organization: Rice Amundsen Rule Change: Supreme Court Rule 30

Docket number: Rule 46

Your public comments: Excellent proposed rule. I wish we had it before my last appeal. Thanks for getting the ball moving again. These are the thing we

need to do to bring the courts into the 21st century.

The results of this submission may be viewed at: http://www.tncourts.gov/node/602760/submission/12567