## IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

FILED 07/03/2017 Clerk of the Appellate Courts

## JIMMY NEWELL v. STATE OF TENNESSEE

		iminal Court for Bradley County Andrew M. Freiberg, Judge
	No. E2016-01755-CCA-R3-ECN	

The pro se Petitioner, Jimmy Newell, appeals as of right from the Bradley County Criminal Court's order summarily dismissing his petition for writ of error coram nobis alleging that the State violated the terms of his plea agreement by filing a letter of opposition to parole with the Board of Parole. The State has filed a motion to affirm the trial court's judgment pursuant to Rule 20 of the Rules of the Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals. Following our review, we conclude that the State's motion is well-taken and affirm the judgment of the trial court.

## Tenn. R. App. P. 3; Judgment of the Criminal Court Affirmed Pursuant to Rule 20, Rules of the Court of Criminal Appeals

D. KELLY THOMAS, JR., J., delivered the opinion of the Court, in which JAMES CURWOOD WITT, JR., and NORMA MCGEE OGLE, JJ., joined.

Jimmy Newell, Cleveland, Tennessee, Pro Se.

Herbert H. Slatery III, Attorney General and Reporter; Renee W. Turner, Senior Counsel; and Stephen Davis Crump, District Attorney General, for the appellee, State of Tennessee.

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

On May 20, 2014, the Petitioner pleaded guilty pursuant to a negotiated plea agreement to various offenses - including three misdemeanor probation violations, four simple or domestic assault offenses, and two felony theft offenses - in exchange for an effective sentence of four years as a Range I, standard offender. Within weeks of entering his plea, the Petitioner began challenging the guilty pleas and sentencing without

success. On July 29, 2016, the Petitioner filed a petition for writ of error coram nobis alleging newly discovered evidence that the District Attorney General filed a letter in opposition to the Petitioner's parole hearing in May 2015.<sup>1</sup> The trial court summarily dismissed the petition, ruling that the Petitioner could not challenge his guilty-pleaded convictions via a writ of error coram nobis. *Frazier v. State*, 495 S.W.3d 246, 253 (Tenn. 2016). The Petitioner filed a timely notice of appeal from the trial court's judgment.

The State correctly asserts that the trial court's summary dismissal should be affirmed because a writ of error coram nobis is not available to challenge convictions arising from a guilty plea. *Id.* Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the Bradley County Criminal Court pursuant to Rule 20 of the Rules of the Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals.

D. KELLY THOMAS, JR., JUDGE

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The court notes that the Tennessee Department of Correction database reflects that, as of March 21, 2017, the Petitioner has been released from confinement and is no longer subject to any supervision.